

THE JOURNAL
OF THE
American Chemical Society

with which has been incorporated the

American Chemical Journal
(Founded by Ira Remsen)

ANNUAL REPORT OF THE INTERNATIONAL COMMITTEE ON
ATOMIC WEIGHTS, 1916.

Received September 13, 1915.

Although many scientific activities have been interrupted by the European war, a fair number of atomic-weight determinations have appeared since the report for 1915 was prepared. They are, briefly, as follows:

Carbon.—Richards and Hoover¹ neutralized sodium carbonate with hydrobromic acid which had been standardized against silver. In this way the ratio of carbonate to silver was determined. With $Ag = 107.88$, $Br = 79.916$ and $Na = 22.995$, $C = 12.005$.

Sulfur.—Atomic weight also determined by Richards and Hoover,² who measured the ratio between sodium carbonate and sulfate. With the values previously assigned to sodium and carbon, $S = 32.060$.

Iodine.—By the direct analysis of iodine pentoxide, Guichard³ finds $I = 126.92$.

Copper.—The electrolytic ratio between copper and silver has been remeasured by Shrimpton,⁴ with $Ag = 107.88$, $Cu = 63.563$, as the mean of ten determinations.

¹ THIS JOURNAL, 37, 95.

² *Ibid.*, 37, 108.

³ *Compt. rend.*, 159, 185.

⁴ *Proc. Phys. Soc. London*, 26, 292.

Nickel.—Oechsner de Coninck and Gerard,¹ by reduction of nickel oxalate in hydrogen, find Ni = 58.57. Few details are given.

Cadmium.—By the electrolysis of cadmium chloride Baxter and Hartmann² find Cd = 112.417. This confirms the earlier work of Baxter and his colleagues, and gives cadmium a much higher value than was found by Hulett.

Mercury.—By the synthesis of mercuric bromide Baker and Watson³ find Hg = 200.57, when Br = 79.92. This value is near that found by Easley.

Lead.—By the analysis of lead bromide Baxter and Thorvaldsen⁴ find Pb = 207.19. With the chloride, Baxter and Grover⁵ obtained the value 207.21, and with the bromide, 207.19. These determinations were made with *normal* lead from widely separated and dissimilar sources, and are highly concordant.

The value Pb = 207.20 will be adopted in the table of atomic weights.

Lead from radioactive minerals, however, has been found to differ in atomic weight from ordinary lead. For lead from thorite Soddy and Hyman⁶ found atomic weights ranging from 208.3 to 208.5. Maurice Curie⁷ studied lead from pitchblende, carnotite, and yttrantalite, and obtained values from 206.36 to 206.64. Lead from monazite and galena was more nearly normal. Hönigschmid and Horowitz⁸ studied lead from pitchblende, and by analyses of the chloride found Pb = 206.735. Richards and Lambert⁹ made six series of analyses of lead chloride prepared with lead derived from carnotite, thorianite, pitchblende and uraninite, the mean values being Pb = 206.59, 206.81, 206.83, 206.57, 206.86, and 206.36. These figures, although each series is concordant within itself, show that radio lead is variable in its atomic weight, and that the single, definite metal is yet to be completely isolated. Indeed, the relations between radio lead (or leads) and ordinary lead are still obscure.

Tin.—Briscoe,¹⁰ by analyses of the tetrachloride SnCl₄ finds Sn = 118.70, when Ag = 107.88 and Cl = 35.457. This new value, which was determined with all modern precautions, will be adopted in the table.

Tantalum.—Sears and Balke,¹¹ in a preliminary series of determinations

¹ *Compt. rend.*, **158**, 1345.

² *THIS JOURNAL*, **37**, 113.

³ *J. Chem. Soc.*, **107**, 63.

⁴ *THIS JOURNAL*, **37**, 1021.

⁵ *Ibid.*, **37**, 1027.

⁶ *J. Chem. Soc.*, **105**, 1402.

⁷ *Compt. rend.*, **158**, 1676.

⁸ *Z. Electrochem.*, **20**, 457.

⁹ *THIS JOURNAL*, **36**, 1329.

¹⁰ *J. Chem. Soc.*, **107**, 63.

¹¹ *THIS JOURNAL*, **37**, 830.

of the ratio between $TaCl_5$ and Ag, obtained values for Ta ranging between 180.90 and 182.14. The research is to be continued.

Praseodymium.—Baxter and Stewart,¹ in a long series of concordant analyses of the chloride $PrCl_3$, find $Pr = 140.92$. The rounded-off figure 140.9 will be adopted here.

INTERNATIONAL ATOMIC WEIGHTS, 1916.

Symbol.	Atomic weight.	Symbol.	Atomic weight.
Aluminum.....Al	27.1	Molybdenum.....Mo	96.0
Antimony.....Sb	120.2	Neodymium.....Nd	144.3
Argon.....A	39.88	Neon.....Ne	20.2
Arsenic.....As	74.96	Nickel.....Ni	58.68
Barium.....Ba	137.37	Niton (radium emanation)....Nt	222.4
Bismuth.....Bi	208.0	Nitrogen.....N	14.01
Boron.....B	11.0	Osmium.....Os	190.9
Bromine.....Br	79.92	Oxygen.....O	16.00
Cadmium.....Cd	112.40	Palladium.....Pd	106.7
Caesium.....Cs	132.81	Phosphorus.....P	31.04
Calcium.....Ca	40.07	Platinum.....Pt	195.2
Carbon.....C	12.005	Potassium.....K	39.10
Cerium.....Ce	140.25	Praseodymium.....Pr	140.9
Chlorine.....Cl	35.46	Radium.....Ra	226.0
Chromium.....Cr	52.0	Rhodium.....Rh	102.9
Cobalt.....Co	58.97	Rubidium.....Rb	85.45
Columbium.....Cb	93.5	Ruthenium.....Ru	101.7
Copper.....Cu	63.57	Samarium.....Sa	150.4
Dysprosium.....Dy	162.5	Scandium.....Sc	44.1
Erbium.....Er	167.7	Selenium.....Se	79.2
Europium.....Eu	152.0	Silicon.....Si	28.3
Fluorine.....F	19.0	Silver.....Ag	107.88
Gadolinium.....Gd	157.3	Sodium.....Na	23.00
Gallium.....Ga	69.9	Strontium.....Sr	87.63
Germanium.....Ge	72.5	Sulfur.....S	32.06
Glucinum.....Gl	9.1	Tantalum.....Ta	181.5
Gold.....Au	197.2	Tellurium.....Te	127.5
Helium.....He	4.00	Terbium.....Tb	159.2
Holmium.....Ho	163.5	Thallium.....Tl	204.0
Hydrogen.....H	1.008	Thorium.....Th	232.4
Indium.....In	114.8	Thulium.....Tm	168.5
Iodine.....I	126.92	Tin.....Sn	118.7
Iridium.....Ir	193.1	Titanium.....Ti	48.1
Iron.....Fe	55.84	Tungsten.....W	184.0
Krypton.....Kr	82.92	Uranium.....U	238.2
Lanthanum.....La	139.0	Vanadium.....V	51.0
Lead.....Pb	207.20	Xenon.....Xe	130.2
Lithium.....Li	6.94	Ytterbium (Neoytterbium)....Yb	173.5
Lutecium.....Lu	175.0	Yttrium.....Yt	88.7
Magnesium.....Mg	24.32	Zinc.....Zn	65.37
Manganese.....Mn	54.93	Zirconium.....Zr	90.6
Mercury.....Hg	200.6		

¹ THIS JOURNAL, 37, 516.

Ytterbium.—Blumenfeld and Urbain,¹ in a series of analyses of the sulfate $\text{Yb}_2(\text{SO}_4)_3 \cdot 8\text{H}_2\text{O}$, find $\text{Yb} = 173.54$. This may be rounded off to 173.5.

Uranium.—Hönigschmid,² from analyses of the bromide UBr_4 , finds $\text{U} = 238.18$. The value 238.2 may properly be adopted.

At the meeting of the International Congress of Applied Chemistry, in 1912, a resolution was passed favoring delay in changes in the table of atomic weights. In accordance with the desire so expressed, no changes have since been made, but several now seem to be necessary. These relate to C, S, He, Sn, Pb, Ra, U, Yt, Pr, Yb, Lu, and U. The reasons for the changes, which are small, may be found in this and the three preceding reports. They are based upon new determinations, which seem to be better than the old.

(Signed)

F. W. CLARKE,
T. E. THORPE,
W. OSTWALD.

NOTE.—Professor Urbain, because of an official connection with the military service of France, is debarred from signing any international report during the war. Otherwise he would approve this report.

F. W. C.

[CONTRIBUTION FROM THE CHEMICAL LABORATORY OF THE UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA.]

THE VAPOR PRESSURE OF THALLIUM AMALGAMS.

BY JOEL H. HILDEBRAND AND ERMON DWIGHT EASTMAN.

Received September 3, 1915.

The work presented in this paper is in continuation of the series of investigations undertaken by one of us on the laws of concentrated solutions. The previous papers include vapor pressure measurements on zinc,³ silver,⁴ gold,⁴ and bismuth⁴ amalgams, and also a discussion of e. m. f. measurements to be found in the literature on the amalgams of zinc, tin, lead, thallium, indium and cadmium.¹ We would refer to the earlier papers for the progress of the work up to this point, as well as for references to the literature.

The experimental procedure was essentially the same as that used in working with bismuth amalgams, so that it is unnecessary to repeat its description here. The thallium used was analyzed for lead, two experiments giving 0.3% and 0.25%, respectively, an amount of impurity far too small to have any effect on these measurements.

¹ *Compt. rend.*, **159**, 325.

² *Z. Electrochem.*, **20**, 452.

³ J. H. Hildebrand, *Orig. Comm. 8th Intern. Congr. Appl. Chem.*, **22**, 139, 147; *Trans. Am. Electrochem. Soc.*, **22**, 319, 335 (1912); *THIS JOURNAL*, **35**, 501 (1913).

⁴ Ermon D. Eastman and J. H. Hildebrand, *THIS JOURNAL*, **36**, 2020 (1914).